

# EXHIBIT 21

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

---

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, ) C10-01823-JLR  
 )  
v. ) August 13, 2013  
 )  
MOTOROLA, INC., et al, ) PRETRIAL  
 ) CONFERENCE  
Defendant. )  
 )

---

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

**APPEARANCES:**

**For the Plaintiff:** Arthur Harrigan, Christopher  
Wion, David Pritikin, Richard  
Cederroth, Andy Culbert,  
Nathaniel Love and Ellen  
Robbins

**For the Defendants:** Ralph Palumbo, William Price,  
Brian Cannon, Kathleen Sullivan  
and Andrea Roberts

1           THE COURT: I don't understand what is going on in  
2 Germany, and I don't draw any conclusions from it. I  
3 simply want it clearly understood that the consequences,  
4 and I am literally talking about eight- or nine-figure  
5 daily sanctions, applicable to the lawyers and the clients  
6 if I find that we are playing games with my ruling. I am  
7 deadly serious about this. If you want to exclude  
8 yourselves from practicing in federal court, you can  
9 figure out a way to do it.

10           MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, when your Honor speaks  
11 in those terms I would respectfully request a few moments  
12 of your Honor's time --

13           THE COURT: You are up. You can stay at counsel  
14 table.

15           MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, we were quite surprised  
16 to receive Mr. Harrigan's letter and find in it no  
17 reference to what the German dispute is actually about,  
18 which is that Microsoft offered to take a license and  
19 Motorola accepted the license. The actions in Germany are  
20 quite consistent with your Honor's boundaries.

21           Your Honor -- Believe me, I know all too well what  
22 the boundaries of your Honor's ruling was, because it was  
23 extremely important in the Ninth Circuit matter.

24           But your Honor will recall that in Docket Number 318,  
25 when you formalized the anti-suit injunction, you said,

1     importantly, the order in no way enjoins Motorola from  
2     pursuing the German action and receiving monetary damages  
3     or any other non-injunctive relief, and in no way  
4     prohibits further proceedings in Germany.

5             And, your Honor, that was very meaningful to the Ninth  
6     Circuit, because the Ninth Circuit, in affirming your  
7     Honor's anti-suit injunction, ruled specifically that  
8     Motorola urges that the anti-suit injunction must be  
9     overturned because it has disabled Motorola from enforcing  
10    its German patents in the only forum in which they can be  
11    enforced. This argument exaggerates the scope of the  
12    injunction, which leaves Motorola free to continue  
13    litigating its German patents claims against Microsoft as  
14    to damages or other non-injunctive remedies to which it  
15    may be entitled.

16            So, your Honor, nothing could have been more  
17    scrupulously appropriate under your Honor's ruling than  
18    for Motorola to continue to pursue in Germany the damages  
19    and other non-injunctive relief that your Honor left open,  
20    and which I would submit was a very important factor in  
21    the Ninth Circuit's ruling. It is why they found your  
22    ruling appropriately narrow. They said damages is left  
23    open.

24            Well, what did Microsoft's offer say? We offered to  
25    take a license, and it expressly said by its terms that we

1 accept for the term of this license we will pay any past  
2 damages that are owed to Motorola.

3 So what is going on in Germany now? Obviously  
4 Motorola is not pursuing injunctions. It has expressly  
5 told the Karlsruhe appellate court that it is dropping any  
6 injunctive relief claims in light of your Honor's  
7 anti-suit injunction. And one of the patents has now  
8 expired. So the claims have been mooted on the injunction  
9 side in Germany, both by your Honor's ruling and by the  
10 expiration of one of the German patents.

11 So Motorola is not seeking injunctive relief in  
12 Germany, is not defying your Honor's ruling, and in fact  
13 is doing exactly what your Honor and the Ninth Circuit  
14 left open to it in express terms.

15 So the so-called new action, which was not sprung on  
16 Microsoft last week, it was filed in July, and it is only  
17 by virtue of the Hague Convention that service occurred  
18 only recently, that is an action to try to obtain the very  
19 thing your Honor left open, which is past damages under  
20 the license that Microsoft offered to take and which  
21 Motorola accepted. Sorry. It was filed in April. I  
22 stand corrected. The suit was filed in April and served  
23 in July by virtue of the Hague Convention.

24 Your Honor, I have to just say, what kind of irony is  
25 there that Microsoft is again using things as a sword and

1 a shield? Over in Germany it is saying, well, Motorola  
2 had to accept their offer in order to avoid  
3 anti-competition sanctions under European law. Now they  
4 come to your court and they say, oh, no, no, they can't  
5 accept an offer because that would be in violation of your  
6 Honor's ruling. That can't be the case. They can't use  
7 an offer that they made, and that Motorola accepted, a  
8 license offer, and a rate -- By the way, the acceptance  
9 was in January of 2013, before your Honor issued the  
10 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in April.

11 So what we are arguing about in Germany is Motorola  
12 contends that we have an executed contract, a license to  
13 the German patents, at a rate that Microsoft offered, and  
14 that we are entitled to enforcement of that as a matter of  
15 contract, a license agreement, under German law, and that  
16 is entirely within the bounds your Honor expressly left  
17 open and was a condition of the Ninth Circuit ruling.

18 Microsoft shouldn't be telling us we had to accept the  
19 offer in Germany, and then coming to this court and saying  
20 you have to void the acceptance of the offer as precluded  
21 by this court's jurisdiction.

22 So, your Honor, we can obviously accept your Honor's  
23 offer to brief this in detail, but I needed to put on the  
24 record now that Motorola is not in defiance of your  
25 Honor's orders, that we have adhered to the absolute

1 letter and boundaries of those orders. We have argued  
2 over two things, a license that Microsoft offered to take  
3 in December of 2011, and never withdrew throughout the  
4 entire course of the anti-suit proceedings right through  
5 the Ninth Circuit, that Motorola accepted in January 2013.  
6 The so-called new action is just a contract action seeking  
7 to enforce that contract -- or to adjudicate whether that  
8 is an accepted contract.

9 Microsoft is now arguing, never mind, we didn't mean  
10 to accept it. We actually -- we didn't mean for your  
11 response to us to be an acceptance. We will read it as a  
12 counteroffer. That is a dispute of German contract law,  
13 whether the license was offered and accepted. That is  
14 what is going on in the so-called new action.

15 The other action is an accounting for past damages,  
16 which is also within your Honor's ruling.

17 So it pains me to hear you talking about Motorola  
18 trying to avoid the court's order when that is not the  
19 facts at all.

20 THE COURT: Counsel, what I have said is I don't  
21 know what is going on in Germany, which is why I have  
22 invited the briefing. But it is not going to be now. I  
23 don't wish to impugn Motorola, I don't wish to impugn  
24 Google, I don't wish to impugn Quinn Emanuel. I simply  
25 want the marker out there that if I find conduct which is

**CERTIFICATE**

I, Barry L. Fanning, Official Court Reporter, do hereby  
certify that the foregoing transcript is true and correct.

S/Barry L. Fanning

Barry L. Fanning